Anesthetic efficacy of articaine/epinephrine plus mannitol in comparison with articaine/epinephrine anesthesia for inferior alveolar nerve block in patients with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis: A randomized controlled clinical trial
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Abstract

Background. It is difficult to achieve successful pulpal anesthesia in mandibular posterior teeth with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis. The present study aimed to compare the effect of articaine/epinephrine anesthesia with articaine/epinephrine at a combination of 0.5 mol/mL of mannitol for the inferior alveolar nerve block (IANB) in patients presenting with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis in the mandibular first molar tooth.

Methods. One hundred patients with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis in the mandibular first molar tooth were selected and randomly divided into two groups based on the injection method. The first group underwent an IANB technique with 1.8 mL of articaine, whereas the second group received 2.9 mL of a formulation, consisting of 1.8 mL of articaine plus 1.1 mL of 0.5 mol/L of mannitol. Fifteen minutes after injections and anesthesia of the lip, the access cavity was prepared. According to the visual analog scale (VAS) criteria, no pain or mild pain for caries removal, pulp exposure and canal instrumentation were regarded as success. Chi-squared test was used for the analysis of data. The level of significance was set at 0.05.

Results. The success rate in the group with articaine/epinephrine anesthesia plus mannitol was higher than that in the group with articaine/epinephrine anesthesia, with no significant difference between the two groups (P>0.05).

Conclusion. It was concluded, under the limitations of this study, that adding mannitol to articaine/epinephrine anesthesia did not increase the success of IANB in mandibular posterior teeth with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis.
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Introduction

Inferior alveolar nerve block (IANB) is the most common injection method used to induce anesthesia in mandibular posterior teeth for endodontic procedures. IANB is characterized by its significantly high failure rates, especially in patients presenting with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis. Endodontic studies on patients presenting with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis have reported failure rates from 44% to 81% for the IANB. This failure rate is associated with activated nociceptors in the inflamed pulp, leading to a lower pain threshold. Accordingly, recent studies have aimed to improve the success rate of IANB in patients presenting with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis using different injection methods, anesthetics solutions, complementary injection methods, drug therapy before IANB, and adding accessory substances to the formulations for local anesthetic agents.

In this regard, mannitol has been recently used. Mannitol is a hexose sugar alcohol with a molecular weight of 182.17 g/mol. It can be naturally found in fruits and vegetables and is an osmotic diuretic. Since mannitol opens the membrane surrounding each nerve, it results in the penetration of more ions into the nerve. As a result, it might affect neural conduction and increase the success rate of IANB. Antonicjievic et al concluded that 0.5 mol/mL of mannitol is very effective in opening the membrane surrounding each nerve, resulting in the penetration of more macromolecules and pumping of ions into the nerve. In addition, hyperosmolar solutions, like mannitol, have been shown to block the release of action potentials in type A neurons in mice.

Articaine, after lidocaine, is the most widely used anesthetic agent in dentistry. Articaine is a dental amide-type local anesthetic agent, and instead of the benzene ring in lidocaine, it contains a thiophene ring, which increases its solubility in fat and accelerates its penetration through the membrane and bone. Compared with lidocaine, articaine induces faster and more durable anesthesia. Available studies indicate that articaine has equal effects in comparison with other local anesthetics in the IANB and maxillary infiltration; however, it is more successful than lidocaine in mandibular first molar infiltration technique.

Recent studies have shown that mannitol plus lidocaine increases anesthesia in the IANB; however, there is a lack of studies on the effect of mannitol plus articaine on the induction of anesthesia in IANB in patients with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis. Therefore, this study investigated the efficacy of adding mannitol to articaine to increase the success rate of IANB in patients presenting with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis in the mandibular first molar teeth.

Methods

The protocol of this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences (Ethics code: IR.TBZMED.REC.1396.1269) and registered at the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (Approval code: IRTC20180228038901N1).

The sample size was estimated at 50 samples in each group according to the results of a study by Kreimer et al, considering a success rate of 39% in the group with a combination of lidocaine/epinephrine and mannitol and 13% in the group with lidocaine/epinephrine with a difference of 26% in the success rate, $\alpha = 0.05$, a study power of 80% and an error rate of 10%.

The current randomized controlled clinical trial was carried out on 100 patients referring to the Endodontic Department of Tabriz Faculty of Dentistry. The inclusion criteria consisted of systemically healthy patients, an age >18 years, no use of beta-blockers, no treatment with different types of opioids, no drug abuse, no pregnancy and lactation, no allergy to the materials used in the study, and no oral inflammation, or infection.

The patients included in this study had permanent mandibular first molar teeth with vital pulps and mature roots (confirmed by radiography), spontaneous pain, more severe and prolonged pain response, compared with the adjacent control tooth, to the cold testing with Endo-Ice (1, 1, 1, 2 Tetrafluoroethane; Hycigen Crop., Akron, OH, USA) due to the decay, and normal periapical status. To avoid bias, the diagnostic steps were performed by an individual blinded to the injection of anesthetic solutions, and pain registration procedures.

The exclusion criteria of the study included patients with the following characteristics: no response to the cold test, teeth with widening of the periodontal ligament (PDL), and teeth with no vital coronal pulp tissue during access cavity preparation (partial necrosis).

One hundred patients, including 50 females and 50 males, were selected (n = 50 for each group) and randomly divided into two subgroups composed of 25 men and 25 women. The randomization was implemented with the Randlist software. A code from 1 to 50 (male and female) was assigned to each patient.

A standard injection of IANB, using conventional injection by a syringe with a 27-gauge needle with a length of 3.6 cm, was conducted in group one. The injection point was determined and aspiration was
conducted, followed by the injection of 1.8 mL of 4% articaine solution with an epinephrine concentration of 1:200,000 (DENTACAIN, Exir, Tehran, Iran) in one minute.

The anesthetic solution for group two was prepared immediately before injection according to the following steps.

Under a sterile condition, 1.8 mL of 4% articaine solution with 1:200,000 epinephrine concentration (DENTACAIN, Exir, Tehran, Iran) from a standard dental cartridge plus 1.1 mL of 0.5 mol/L of 20% mannitol (200 mL) (Polifarma Pharmaceuticals Industry and Trade Co. Inc., Turkey) was taken into a 3-mL sterile Luer-lok tip syringe (AVAPEZESHK, Iran). The mixture was shaken 20 times to achieve a uniform mix. The mannitol solution was placed in water at 80°C before mixing for 15–20 minutes to remove any crystals in the solution. The standard injection and the remaining steps were similar to those in the first group.

Fifteen minutes after injection, the patients were asked about lip anesthesia.10 Fourteen patients did not have lip anesthesia and were excluded, whereas 86 patients had lip anesthesia and were enrolled in the study.

The patients were informed about the stages of the study and informed consent was obtained. The teeth were isolated with a rubber dam and the access cavity was prepared. The patients were asked to raise their hand when they felt any pain and record their pain severity on VAS. Pain sensation steps were recorded separately using the following methods: upon dentin entry, upon the pulp chamber entry, and initial canal instrumentation.

The 170-mm Heft-Parker visual analog scale (VAS) was employed to assess pain,10 in which according to the location of pain, the pain level is classified into 4 categories: no pain (0 mm), mild pain (1–54 mm), moderate pain (55–112 mm), and severe pain (113–170 mm).

Successful anesthesia was defined according to the VAS criteria representing no pain (0) or mild pain (1–54 mm).

In this study, diagnostic tests of pulp status and IANB injection were performed by an operator, and the access cavity preparation and recording of the possible pain during operation were carried out by another operator.

Statistical analysis

Repeated-measures ANOVA was used to determine statistical differences in the means of pain intensity between the two groups through three stages of evaluation; chi-squared test was used to assess the relationship between gender and the study groups. The level of significance was defined at P<0.05.

Results

The results of repeated-measures ANOVA showed no significant differences in the means of pain intensity between the two groups (P>0.05) (Table 1). In addition, a significant difference was observed in the means of pain intensity between the three stages of evaluation (P<0.05) (Table 2, Figure 1).

According to the results of chi-squared test, there was no statistically significant relationship between gender and the study groups (P>0.05).

Discussion

Favorable pain control is essential in the treatment of painful endodontic diseases. The low success rate of IANB injection and poor control of pain in patients with irreversible pulpitis has resulted in an increase in the use of supplementary substances to increase the anesthetic success.3,4 The causative factors for the failure to achieve sufficient anesthesia in the IANB technique in the presence of inflammation include neurodegenerative changes throughout the inflamed nerve away from the inflammation site and the presence of amino acids produced by the lysosomal activity of proteolytic enzymes.6,8 Recent studies have assessed the use of auxiliary substances, such as meperidine, diphenhydramine, hyaluronidase, and sodium bicarbonate to enhance the success of the IANB technique. It has been indicated that none of these substances, compared with lidocaine/epinephrine, increase the success rate of IANB significantly.12,28

The failure of inferior alveolar anesthesia can be caused by the membrane surrounding the nerve, through which the anesthetic solution cannot fully penetrate to reach the nerve tract. It has been reported that mannitol increases the permeability of the membrane surrounding each nerve.16 Moreover, it changes or interrupts the action potential in neurons.17 Accordingly, in this study we studied the effect of mannitol. Studies have indicated that mannitol is a non-reactive substance,15, 16 which is widely used in medicine. No study has yet compared the effect of articaine anesthetic solution with that of a combination of articaine and mannitol.

Table 1. The results of repeated-measures ANOVA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>0.817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage of evaluation</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The relation between the stage and group</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P<0.05 was significant.
and mannitol in patients with symptomatic irreversi-
ble pulpitis. In this regard, this study compared the
success rate of articaine/mannitol with that of arti-
caine for IANB injection in patients with symptomatic
irreversible pulpitis. In the present study, the male and
female patients were divided and analyzed equally,
and since the tooth type affects the IANB success rate,
mandibular first molar teeth were selected. Gender
and age of the patients did not result in a significant
difference between the two study groups. In previous
endodontic studies, IANB success rate was 19‒57%
in patients with irreversible pulpitis.3,5,10,12 In this re-
search, we used lip anesthesia as a clinical indicator
of IANB success, ignoring the electric pulp testing
(EPT) since Nasstein et al29 showed that in 42% of the
cases which responded negatively to the EPT test,
there was pain during endodontic procedures. Lip an-
esthesia does not guarantee a successful anesthesia of
the pulp. Therefore, no pain (VAS = 0) or mild pain
(VAS = 1‒54 mm) were considered as a success upon
dentin entry, upon the pulp chamber entry, and initial
canal instrumentation. The low success rate of arti-
caine in the IANB technique for the patients present-
ing with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis was con-
sistent with other studies.30 Since adequate pulpal an-
esthesia cannot be achieved by these formulations in
patients with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis, sup-
plementary injection techniques, such as intraosseous
or intraligamentary, must be considered to provide fa-
vorable anesthesia.2 Further studies are recommended
to increase the success rate of the IANB technique in
patients with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis.

The results of this study showed that the arti-
caine/epinephrine solution plus mannitol resulted in a
higher success rate compared with articaine/epinep-
hrine without mannitol; however, the difference was
not statistically significant. Unlike the current study,
Kreimer et al10 examined the effect of mannitol on the
success rate of IANB for mandibular molars and
showed significant differences in terms of success rate
between the group with lidocaine/epinephrine plus
mannitol and the group with lidocaine/epinephrine. It
can be concluded that articaine itself has a high tissue
and osseous penetration and diminishes the effect of
mannitol, which increases the permeability of the
nerve membrane.

Conclusion
Under the limitations of this study, it was concluded
that adding mannitol to articaine/epinephrine anes-
thetic agent did not increase the success rate of the
IANB technique in mandibular posterior teeth with
symptomatic irreversible pulpitis.
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